



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,607	03/24/2004	Pawel Oskar Matusz	1020.P18644	7219

57035 7590 11/09/2007  
KACVINSKY LLC  
C/O INTELLEVATE  
P.O. BOX 52050  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER
----------

LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2131

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

11/09/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

MP

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/809,607	MATUSZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Christian La Forgia	2131	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christian La Forgia.

(3) Andrew Aurand.

(2) John Kacvinsky.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7, 13 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S.P.N. 6,996,712 (Perlman).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The representative for Applicant described the invention of the instant application, as well as possible differences from the prior art, namely that the authentication information is transmitted with each data frame. The examiner pointed to column 3, lines 61-62 stating the "integrity blocks may be included in a data packet." The Examiner conceded that it is not clear in Perlman at this time whether the authentication information (i.e. integrity blocks) is transmitted in every data packet. The Examiner also asked for clarification of the "change parameter" in claim 6. The Applicant's proposed claim amendments appear to differentiate from the cited prior art, but require further consideration of Perlman, as well as an updated search. The Examiner stated that he would not go final on first action if the Applicants were to file an RCE and Perlman still read on the claim language.

**FAX COVER SHEET****DATE:** November 5, 2007**TO:** Examiner Christian Laforgia**FROM:** John F. Kacvinsky**FAX NUMBER:** 571-273-3792**PAGES** (Including Cover Sheet): 1

---

**COMMENTS:**

Examiner Laforgia,

This fax serves as a reminder of our telephonic examiner interview for application number 10/809,607 (Our Reference Number: 1020.P18644), scheduled for Thursday, November 8, 2007 at 11:00 am.

The purpose of this interview is to discuss the possibility of placing this case in condition for allowance. In particular, discussion will be directed to possible amendments to the independent claims either from the dependent claims or from the specification, that will distinguish these claims from the cited prior art.

Again, we will plan on calling you at 571-272-3792 on Thursday, November 8, 2007 at 11:00 am. Thank you in advance for your cooperation with this matter.

Best Regards,  
John F. Kacvinsky